

United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
March 19, 2004

Examples of Geographic Distributions of Sample Collections for the BSE Surveillance Plan

These are examples of what could be considered appropriate geographic distributions of sample collections for our BSE surveillance plan. These are estimates only, based on population data derived from NASS surveys and weighted for some assumed differences in death losses between dairy and beef cattle populations. Our evaluation of the data obtained in this surveillance effort will be done at a national level, and will reflect the US cattle population. It will not be done individually at a state level. These distribution examples are therefore flexible and should only be considered in the overall analysis as part of the national picture.

	% Allocation	sample goals based on 268,500	sample goals based on 201,000
AL	1.00	2,686	2,011
AK	0.01	38	28
AZ	1.24	3,335	2,497
AR	1.37	3,672	2,749
CA	12.18	32,705	24,483
CO	1.39	3,728	2,791
CT	0.15	395	295
DE	0.06	156	117
FL	2.07	5,570	4,170
GA	1.30	3,491	2,613
HI	0.14	372	279
ID	3.33	8,939	6,692
IL	1.24	3,325	2,489
IN	1.22	3,289	2,462
IA	2.49	6,681	5,001
KS	2.60	6,972	5,219
KY	2.10	5,645	4,226
LA	0.86	2,312	1,731
ME	0.24	643	481
MD	0.56	1,512	1,132
MA	0.13	341	255
MI	2.10	5,636	4,219
MN	3.57	9,586	7,176
MS	0.84	2,266	1,696
MO	3.39	9,097	6,810
MT	1.89	5,076	3,800
NE	2.64	7,077	5,298
NV	0.47	1,253	938
NH	0.11	297	223
NJ	0.09	247	185
NM	2.71	7,277	5,448
NY	4.48	12,024	9,001
NC	0.87	2,335	1,748

ND	1.35	3,616	2,707
OH	2.03	5,457	4,085
OK	2.90	7,792	5,833
OR	1.50	4,038	3,023
PA	3.94	10,583	7,922
RI	0.01	29	22
SC	0.38	1,008	755
SD	2.58	6,938	5,194
TN	1.84	4,938	3,697
TX	8.71	23,374	17,498
UT	1.01	2,724	2,039
VT	0.98	2,638	1,975
VA	1.53	4,121	3,085
WA	1.92	5,161	3,864
WV	0.32	851	637
WI	8.58	23,040	17,248
WY	0.94	2,513	1,881
PR/VI	0.63	1,704	1,276
US	100.00	268,500	201,000